THE HON'BLE Ms. JUSTICE G. ROHINI

WRIT PETITION No.15232 OF 2006

ORAL ORDER:

The petitioners claim to be agriculturists having small extents of lands in Sy.Nos.304/1A, 305, 82, 76, 300, 306-1, 3006-2, 307, 302-8, 84, 130 & 131, situated in and around Pandillapalli and Chadipirala villages, Kamalapuram Mandal, Kadapa district. It is stated that they are residing at Yerragudipadu village, Kamalapuram mandal, Kadapa district, situated at the left bank of Pageru river which is at a distance of 2 kms away from the above said fields. Since the fields are situated on the right bank of the river, the petitioners and other villagers have been using the connecting bridge to reach the fields. While so, the respondents have proposed to construct a new bridge on the river Pageru at a height of 15 feet, than the existing bridge. It is alleged that the new bridge is being constructed without making provision for approach roads to enable the petitioners to reach the bridge. Even the existing approach roads are closed putting the petitioners to hardship. It is pleaded that the respondents failed to take into consideration the objections raised by the petitioners and have been proceeding with the construction of a new bridge resulting in closure of approach roads existing as on today from Yerragudipadu village to agricultural lands situated in and around Pandillapalli and Chadipirala villages. Hence, this writ petition seeking a declaration that the impugned action of the respondents is arbitrary and illegal.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Pleader for Roads & Buildings, appearing for the respondents, I am of the opinion that the matter, which involves several questions of fact, cannot be gone into and decided by this Court. However, in case the proposed construction of the bridge results in inconvenience to the residents of the locality, it is always open to them to make an appropriate representation raising objections, in which event, the respondents would consider the same in

accordance with law.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of granting liberty to the petitioners to make an appropriate representation before respondents 2 to 4, within a period of two weeks from today; in which event, the respondents will consider the same, in accordance with law. No costs.

_____

(G. ROHINI, J.)

24th July, 2006.
Kgr
Issue CC by day after tomorrow.

THE HON'BLE Ms. JUSTICE G. ROHINI

WRIT PETITION No.15232 OF 2006

24th July, 2006.

Between:

K. Pedda Obulu Reddy and others. .. Petitioners.

And

The Government of A.P., represented by its
Secretary, Roads and buildings department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad and three others. .. Respondents.